#### APPENDIX A

#### **Agreements and Action Items**

#### AGREEMENTS REACHED AND ACTION ITEMS FROM TTY FORUM - 21

- 21.1 Megan Hayes will compare the contribution from Gunnar Hellstrom regarding 3GPP standards and compare it to Appendix J. She will also compare the list from Dick Brandt, Gallaudet University. The complete list will be included with the Meeting Summary for TTY Forum #21.
- **21.2** Ed Hall, ATIS, will inform the TTY Forum of the outcome of the meeting with the FCC and will distribute the power point presentation made at the FCC.
- **21.3** The telecommunications industry should use a consistent symbol to indicate that a handset will work with a TTY. Specifically, the internationally recognized TTY symbol or some modification of it should be used.

#### AGREEMENTS REACHED AND ACTION ITEMS FROM TTY FORUM - 20

- **20.1** Line Item #13 in the User Intervention Document regarding the usability of a device in an "eyes-busy" environment will be removed.
- **20.2** Line Item #7 in the User Intervention Document will be changed to the following wording: "Does the TTY mode setting interfere with the operation of other features of the handset system?" (e.g., does connecting the cable or enabling the TTY mode disable the vibrate feature or the direct dialing capability?)
- **20.3** SHHH and Gallaudet University will assist the TTSI Incubator in VCO/HCO testing and consumer trials. The TTSI Incubator will determine how to move forward with VCO/HCO testing and consumer testing in the Washington, DC area.
- **20.4** Verizon Wireless will find the standard that addresses the physical requirements of the 2.5 mm jack and provide the information to the TTY Forum for inclusion in Appendix J. This information will also be provided to TR45.1.
- 20.5 The Terminal Product Labeling group will be closed.
- 20.6 The Terminal Product Identification Committee Working Group of the TTY Forum will be formed to work the labeling issue and bring a recommendation back to the TTY Forum Plenary. The group will be Chaired by Jim House, and include as members: Beth Wilson, Susan Palmer, Al Lucas, Matt Kaltenbach, David Nelson, Ron Schultz, Chris Wallace, Peter Lee, Linda Day, Lee Whritenour and Scott Freiermuth.
- **20.7** TTY Forum 21 will be held March 5, 2002 at the ATIS Conference Center in Washington, DC.
- **20.8** TTY Forum 22 will be held June 4, 2001 at the ATIS Conference Center in Washington, DC.

- **20.9** The topic of Roll-Out Guidelines and Considerations will be turned over to the TPI Working Group for exploration. The resulting suggestions will be included as an appendix in the next meeting summary.
- **20.10** Ed Hall will extract information regarding non-initialized phones and 911 calls from previous meeting notes.
- **20.11** The Manufacturers will provide information to the TTY Forum regarding the behavior of 911 TTY calls in a non-activated SIM terminal.

#### AGREEMENTS REACHED AND ACTION ITEMS FROM TTY FORUM - 19

- 19.1 The TTY Forum Chair will communicate to the TTSI Incubator Group that there should be a white paper written identifying the problem with SMS messaging tones with TTY. The white paper should also address any other features that use auditory alerts and may cause higher character error rates.
- **19.2** The TTSI Incubator Group should plan to include testing during high-traffic hours.
- **19.3** TTY Forum participants agreed to use Gallaudet University's testing script version 1 (1.1) for all FOA type testing, and to continue to use Lober and Walsh for all lab testing.
- **19.4** The consumer community will review line item #13 in the TTY User Intervention Document (Appendix E) regarding "Is it usable in an "eyes busy" environment" and re-state it, if needed, to clarify confusion.
- 19.5 Line Item #7 of the TTY User Intervention Document (Appendix E) will be reviewed and edited off-line by Gallaudet to cover the interference of TTY with other phone features, including dialing.
- 19.6 The Voice Mail Recommendations will be passed on to the IVR Forum for their review, via a liaison from the TTY Forum.
- 19.7 The revised Appendix E of the TTY Forum Meeting Summary was approved as revised.
- 19.8 There will be a TTY Forum Working Group to address drafting guidelines for the industry on labeling equipment to indicate that it is TTY Compatible (members will include: Beth Wilson, Chair, Al Lucas, Matt Kaltenbach, Chris Wallace, Ken Evens, Jim House, David Nelson, Linda Day, Ron Schultz and Al Sonnenstrahl).

#### AGREEMENTS REACHED AND ACTION ITEMS FROM TTY FORUM – 18

- **18.1** Contribution TTY18/01.06.12.13, "Testing Against User Requirements" will be added to Appendix D: TTY Test Completion Matrix of the TTY Forum Meeting Summary.
- **18.2** The Secretariat will add contribution TTY18/01.06.12.13, "Testing Against User Requirements" to Appendix D: TTY Test Completion Matrix of the TTY Forum Meeting Summary.
- **18.3** Judy Harkins will provide the URL for the web site describing the testing tools technology to the TTY Secretariat to make the information more readily available to TTY Forum participants.
- **18.4** The list of questions regarding user intervention (Contribution TTY18/01.16.12.15), will be considered for further discussion of user intervention.
- **18.5** The product labeling issue will be deferred until the next TTY meeting due to time constraints.
- 18.6 Regarding Features and Functions:
- CALL WAITING (CW)

CW interferes with TTY communications.

CW as a feature is disruptive and often not used by TTY users. Disabling CW by default for phones in TTY mode is an acceptable solution to the consumer community.

CW can be disabled in a GSM environment (either permanently or via the handset menu).

CW cannot be disabled via the handset menu in a TDMA environment; it has to be disabled at the switch.

#### VOICEMAIL/TTY MAIL (VM)

Some systems do not record and play back to TTY machines as well as others.

VM should be placed on the next TTY Forum agenda and referred to the AVSS/IVR Forum.

#### SHORT MESSAGING SERVICE (SMS)

SMS signals may cause interruption in TTY communications.

SMS is a desired feature for the consumer community.

Queuing of SMS messages during a TTY conversation is not supported in some networks.

**18.7** Elizabeth Lyle will submit a written proposal for a consolidated report for submission to the FCC. This report will be posted to the TTY Forum web site.

**18.8** The next meeting of the TTY Forum (#19) will be held September 26 at the ATIS Conference Center in Washington, DC.

**18.9** TTY Forum #20 will be held December 11 at the ATIS Conference Center in Washington, DC.

#### AGREEMENTS REACHED AND ACTION ITEMS FROM TTY FORUM - 17

17.1 The TTY Forum recognized ATIS as its Secretariat and official sponsor.

17.2 Ericsson, Lucent, and Nokia will look into the voice quality issue in terms of IS 127-2

CDMA and TDMA and report back to the TTY Forum whether or not there is a problem.

17.3 Consumer groups will review the "user intervention" handset function and report back at the next TTY Forum on whether or not the function is considered a viable option.

17.4 It was agreed to disband the E-Protocol Working Group.

17.5 It was agreed that the TTY Forum would file an ex parte to the FCC to report the solution proposed by the E-Protocol Working Group and the action taken by the TTY Forum.

#### **AGREEMENTS FROM TTY FORUM — 16**

- 16.1 TTY Secretariat, Megan Hayes, will add a non-attending participants list of those who submit implementation status reports to the chair but were unable to attend the TTY Forum 16.2 The industry implementation status reports will be added as an appendix to the meeting summary (Appendix L). All written reports will be sent to the chair within ten working days following the forum. This agreement will be sent out the list serve to ensure that all TTY participants (past and present) are aware of the agreement. The final Meeting Summary will be submitted to the FCC and will become public record.
- **16.3** TTY Forum industry members find that it is not within the scope and purview to address the e-protocol issue at this time. However, the chair will pass the concept and recommendation to SDO's (e.g. T1P1, TR45)
- **16.4** A working group will be created to explore the e-protocol issue. There will be an effort to ensure that all industry sectors are represented.

#### **AGREEMENTS FROM TTY FORUM – 15**

- **15.1** Toni Dunne, NENA, will be the principle point of contact for coordinating with PSAPs at a point in carriers, infrastructure, and mobile handset vendors field testing.
- 15.2 The TTY Forum will hold its next meeting on October 24, 2000 (second choice is October 25, 2000) at Gallaudet University. Meetings thereafter will be held on an "as needed" basis. The summary of the report from the October 2000 meeting will be formally forwarded to the FCC with a cover letter written by the Co-Chairs. Furthermore, on a voluntary effort, carrier will post a status update on their Website and/or the TTY list serve on 3/01, 9/01, and 3/02.

#### AGREEMENTS FROM TTY FORUM – 14

**14.1** Establish Appendix J which will be a "living" document of technical terms and organizations and Appendix J, also a "living" document of technical standards development essential to the TTY Forum's Scope.

#### **AGREEMENTS FROM TTY FORUM – 13**

13.1 Lucent announced they will distribute the TTY vocoder solution, royalty-free, to mftrs implementing the solution. Lucent noted that it is not relinquishing the patent rights, just making the solution available royalty-free.

#### AGREEMENTS FROM TTY FORUM - 9

- 9.1 The TTY Forum agrees to submit User Requirements to TR45 in December, 1998.
- **9.2** Appendix G will be created as a living document to identify membership of the TTY Forum Test Procedure Study Group that will meet to track test plan modifications, facilities, and dates, user expert, point of contact.
- 9.3 Appendix H will be created to identify the operational characteristics of TTY devices.
- **9.4** The TTY Forum will develop a list of TTYs that fall within the domain of reasonable operational characteristics to provide an informational guide for carriers. The list will be available to the public via web sites and mailings.
- **9.5** The TTY Forum agrees that IWF is broadly defined as a translation method to complete a call that is transparent to the user. The IWF is not limited to either voice or data. An IWF may not be confined to a single network but may be shared across multiple networks.
- 9.6 The TTY Forum agrees to submit the SRD for the 2.5 mm Jack to TR45 in December, 1998.
- **9.7** The TTY Forum agrees to submit the SRD for Circuit Switched Data to TR45 in December, 1998

#### AGREEMENTS FROM TTY FORUM - 8

- **8.1** The TTY Forum agrees that all testing will be done in test labs simulating field conditions.
- **8.2** The TTY Forum agrees that the short-term solution will now be referred to as voice-based solutions. The long-term solution is now referred to as data based solutions.
- **8.3** An experienced TTY user will be available at the beginning of lab testing to provide counsel or training, if necessary.

#### AGREEMENTS FROM TTY FORUM – 7

- 7.1 The TTY Forum should remain operational until solutions are provided and implemented for all digital technologies, to the satisfaction of the TTY Forum.
- **7.2** The baseline for the digital solution is wireless analog performance.
- **7.3** Accept Contribution #12 as a working document to represent the basis of the test plan. Test Plan as modified by the technology groups (CDG,UWCC,GSMNA) will be sent to all phone manufacturers. Test plan will measure the performance of various digital air interface technologies.
- **7.4** Where possible, VCO/HCO should be included in the testing, design, and availability of TTYs, cellular phones, and air interface technologies.
- 7.5 The TTY Forum will submit a request for a three month extension to the FCC.

#### AGREEMENTS REACHED AT TTY FORUM - 6

- **6.1** Any carrier not in compliance with the Consumer Notification Process established at TTY Forum should be brought to the attention of the TTY Forum for resolution.
- **6.2** Working Group #1 is officially dissolved having completed its initial charter. Any further testing results would be forwarded directly to the TTY Forum.
- **6.3** A lack of TTY technical standard has resulted in a variance of TTY performance levels manifested when used on digital networks. As such, in developing the "short-term" digital solution, certain least used models of TTY may not be supportable on all digital air interfaces.

#### AGREEMENTS REACHED AT TTY FORUM - 5

- **5.1** As an initial step, carriers who can offer TTY users at least one digital phone model for each digital technology that a carrier offers at a reasonable price by October 1, 1998 would be considered in compliance of the E9-1-1/TTY compatibility requirements.
- **5.2** The FCC can use the information contained in the notification letter in any way they feel would expedite getting the information to the consumer.
- 5.3 All test results submitted will be included in the next Quarterly Status Report.

#### AGREEMENTS REACHED AT TTY FORUM - 4

- **4.1** Objective test (Throughput Test) approved and to be sent to manufacturers and carriers with a matrix to record testing completion dates and documentation.
- **4.2** TTY Forum Test Completion Matrix approved.
- **4.3** Consensus reached that Testing Matrix should go to every manufacturer listed at CTIA as well as Wireless and Wireline Carriers. CTIA/PCIA will escalate/elevate TTY Forum efforts to reach wireless equipment manufacturers and inform of urgency and criticality of rapid response to the Testing Matrix via a letter from the TTY Forum and CTIA/PCIA. The group recognizes that participation is voluntary. Copies of letter and matrix responses will be sent to the FCC.
- **4.4** RFI will be put on issues list to explore possibility of interference between phone and TTY device.
- **4.5** Consensus to put TTY Forum's current research opinion on output voltages (coupling information) into a formal document and present to manufacturers for feedback. Give 30 days for feedback.
- **4.6** Subjective test (End User Test) to be finalized by committee. Testing will be handled through Gallaudet with assistance from Wireless

manufacturers and TTY manufacturers. Will replicate authentic 9-1-1 calls with a deaf/hearing impaired caller and a trained calltaker.

- **4.7** CTIA will produce a list of Analog Phones that are compatible with TTY devices to be included in notification efforts and on web sites due as a Contribution at the next TTY Forum.
- **4.8** Gallaudet University and Consumer groups will draft a Consumer Requirements Document due as a Contribution at the next TTY Forum.
- **4.9** CTIA/PCIA will send letter to wireless equipment manufacturers requesting that they support Gallaudet University in their testing efforts by sending equipment.
- **4.10**Standards Requirements Documents (SRD) due for V.18 and the 2.5 mm jack as Contributions at next TTY Forum.

#### **AGREEMENTS REACHED AT TTY FORUM - 3**

- **3.1** 6 sponsored spots for identified consumer groups, relinquished if member misses 2 consecutive meetings.
- **3.2** Accept modified "readability test" to be used by phone manufacturers to benchmark TTY over digital capabilities, to determine success rate for transport. (See Contribution TTY/98.02.11.06) Two tests: Manufacturers Readability Test, End User Test
- **3.3** Error rate is defined as "character" not "bit" for the purpose of this forum. (Shift error rate of ratio 1/8 (i.e. 1 shift error causes up to eight text errors and will be counted as such) to be determined)
- **3.4** Develop User Requirements Document. The outcome of Working Group #2. Represents the effort to provide for future advancements in technology by looking at solutions beyond 45.45 baud, Baudot.
- **3.5** Define process to update Notification Document: refer updated information to CTIA to be distributed to T-CAT.

#### **AGREEMENTS REACHED AT TTY FORUM - 2**

**2.1** Combine Working Group #1 and Working Group #3. Develop new set of deliverables based on the October 1, 1998 deadline.

Short term solution: solve for backward compatibility.

Develop Standard Test to measure error rate of TTY over digital.

#### AGREEMENTS REACHED AT TTY FORUM - 1

1.1 "Solve for 45.45 Baudot, not to preclude looking for other solutions."

Look for long term and near term solutions.

Near term - send through vocoder

<u>Long term</u> - circumvent vocoder, enhance quality and connectivity

Provide for the analog function of wireless phones.

The only body that can change the agreements reached is this body. All agreements remain intact until/unless action is taken in this forum.

#### APPENDIX B

## Recommended Text Consumer Notification

#### ATTENTION TTY USERS

Background

A TTY (also known as a TDD or Text Telephone) is a telecommunications device that allows people who are deaf, hard of hearing, or have speech or language disabilities to communicate by telephone. A TTY has a keyboard used to type a conversation, which then is transmitted as tones over a wired telephone line. The tones are translated to text that appears on a person's TTY screen.

911 and TTY Access Through Wireless Services

Federal law requires the telecommunications industry to provide a way for TTYs to communicate through wireless systems to make 911 calls. There are two types of wireless phones – analog and digital.

Analog – It is possible today to use some analog wireless phones reliably to call 911 with a TTY.

Digital – It is not possible today to use a digital wireless phone reliably to call 911 with a TTY.

Research is being done to improve the ability of digital phones to work reliably with TTYs. The industry is working to resolve this matter by October 1998.

[Optional: For more information, contact . . . ]

DATE OF PUBLICATION:

#### APPENDIX C

#### **TTY Forum Issue Statements**

- 6.1 The TTY Forum doesn't support one solution over the other but it seems that the 2.5 mm jack is preferred
- 6.2 It is acceptable in concept to retrofit the TTY at no cost to the user. Concern was expressed regarding warranty work, and who would perform work on equipment. The retrofit should not eliminate or impact any functionality previously available to the user. Time to retrofit should be reasonable. A liaison should be established between manufacturers and user groups to ensure "certain conditions" are met.
- 6.3 The issue of the false propagation of errors, created by the incorrect receipt of a shift character should be addressed through use of an appropriate test script. The script should contain multiple shifts space apart so that a realistic distribution of character errors would result, based on frequent (although not universal) practice of correcting shift errors by user action. A normal distribution between 1 and ? with a median of about 8 would be appropriate.
- 9.1 The issue of whether less than full rate transmission is an acceptable solution, if it can be shown to provide improved CER performance.
- 9.2 The User Requirements Document will be modified by the consumers before the December TR45 meeting.

APPENDIX D

TTY FORUM MANUFACTURER TESTING COMPLETION MATRIX

Technology	Through Put Test (Contribution)	Type of Test (Field, Lab)	Contact Name & Number
Analog	98.07.21.07		Ken Wells
Analog	98.05.20.20	Lab	Paul Mollar
Analog	98.07.21.05	Lab	Steve Sendele
CDMA	98.05.20.20	Lab	Paul Mollar
CDMA	98.05.20.10	Lab	Ahmed Tauf
CDMA	No Gain Solution 99.01.26.09	Lab	Dr. Steven Benno
CDMA	99.0909.16	Fixed Point Proof / Concept	Dr. Steven Benno
CDMA	98.05.20.17	Lab	Mohamed El-Rayes
CDMA	98.05.20.12	Lab	Nikolai Leung
CDMA	99.05.18.15	Lab	
GSM	98.02.11.07	Lab	Christopher Kingdon
GSM	98.05.20.17	Lab	Mohamed El-Rayes
GSM	98.05.20.20	Static	Paul Mollar
GSM	98.11.04.14	Static	Steve Coston
All Digial	99.09.09.12 /	Static	Steve Coston
GSM/TDM A	99.09.09.15	Theory	Doug Neily
TIMA	09.02.11.05	I t ah	Christopher Vinadom
			Christopher Kingdom Steve Coston
			Paul Mollar
			Mohammed El-Rayes
TDMA	98.07.21.07	Field	Jim De Loach 510-445-5510
TDMA	98.09.08.10	Lab	Josh Lober
	98.07.21.08	Lab	Josh Lober
	98.11.04.14	Static	Steve Coston
		<del></del>	Adrian Smith
TDMA	99.05.18.14	Lab	Massoud Fatini
	Analog Analog Analog CDMA CDMA CDMA CDMA CDMA CDMA CDMA CDMA	Test (Contribution) Analog 98.07.21.07 Analog 98.05.20.20 Analog 98.05.20.20 CDMA 98.05.20.10 CDMA 98.05.20.10 CDMA No Gain Solution 99.01.26.09 CDMA 98.05.20.17 CDMA 98.05.20.17 CDMA 98.05.20.17 CDMA 98.05.20.12 CDMA 98.05.20.12 CDMA 98.05.20.13 GSM 98.05.20.17 GSM 98.05.20.17 GSM 98.05.20.17 GSM 98.05.20.20 GSM 98.11.04.14 All Digial 99.09.09.12 / .13 GSM/TDM 99.09.09.15 A  TDMA 98.05.20.20 TDMA 98.05.20.17 TDMA 98.05.20.17 TDMA 98.05.20.17 TDMA 98.05.20.17 TDMA 98.07.21.07	Test (Contribution)

Lucent	TDMA/CD	99.05.18.13	Lab	Steve Benno	•
Ameriphone	MA TDMA/CD MA	99.05.18.12	Static	Peter Lee	
Lober & Walsh	IDEN	98.09.08.11	Lab	Josh Lober	

#### APPENDIX E

#### TTY USER REQUIREMENTS

September 10, 1998

To: TTY Forum

Fr: Consumer Representatives

The CTIA has said that most of the consumer criteria previously submitted were not usable by the TTY Forum because the criteria covered marketing and distribution as well as design. Marketing and distribution issues for a possible "one-phone-model-per-technology" short-term plan will be taken up with CTIA's senior management, as suggested by them.

This contribution is a new set of criteria to address only functional characteristics of the solutions. The new criteria also reflect new information from the Forum since the first list was drawn up. It is intended to cover any solution.

- 1. The character error rate should approximate that of AMPS, which has been demonstrated at <1% for stationary calls. More research on AMPS performance with TTY would be useful to assist in specifying a range of conditions.
- 2. The TTY caller must be able to visually monitor all aspects of call progress provided to voice users. Specifically, the ability to pass through sounds on the line to the TTY (so that the user can monitor ring, busy, answered-in-voice, etc.) should be provided.
- 3. There must be a visual indication when the call has been disconnected.
- 4. A volume control should be provided.
- 5. The TTY user must have a means of tactile (vibrating) ring signal indication.
- 6. The caller must be able to transmit TTY tones independent of the condition of the receiving modem. (This is to permit baudot signaling by pressing a key, to let a hearing person know that the incoming call is from a TTY.)
- 7. The *landline* party's TTY must not require retrofitting in order to achieve the desired error rate.
- 8. The wireless party's TTY may require retrofitting, or a new model TTY to be developed, or the use of a portable data terminal such as a personal digital assistant.

- 9. VCO and HCO should be supported where possible.
- 10. Reduction of throughput (partial rate) on Baudot is highly undesirable and should not be relied upon to achieve compliance (see #7). It may be useful as a user-selectable option to improve accuracy on a given call.
- 11. Call information such as ANI and ALI, where provided in wireless voice, should also be provided for TTY calls.
- 12. The solution need not support little-used or obsolete TTY models, but in general should support the embedded base of TTYs sold over the past ten years. The landline equipment supported must not be limited to that used in Public Service Answering Points (911 centers).
- 13. Drive conditions must be supported, again using AMPS as a benchmark.

September 14, 1999

To: TIA TR-45.3

Fr: Consumer Representatives, Wireless TTY Forum

Authors: Judy Harkins, Gallaudet University and Dick Brandt, dB Consulting as

consultant to Gallaudet

David Baquis, Self Help for Hard of Hearing People, Inc.

Alfred Sonnenstrahl, Consumer Action Network

Claude Stout, Telecommunications for the Deaf, Inc.

Karen Peltz Strauss, National Association of the Deaf

Norman Williams, Gallaudet University

Re: Guidance to TR-45 on Proposals for Solutions to TTY over TDMA

Presentations on three of the proposals being considered by TR-45 for the TDMA TTY solution were made at the September 9, 1999 meeting of the Wireless TTY Forum. Given the timeframe TR-45 is operating under, and given that the FCC has directed industry to consider consumer issues in determining solutions, we offer this document as guidance to TR-45 as it considers the alternatives.

The information presented at the September 9 meeting was, in some cases, sufficiently sketchy that consumers were unable to ascertain the functional implications of the proposals. Some presentations were also done very late in the process, so there is not sufficient time for analysis.

We do not state a preference for any proposal but hope the following discussion will be helpful.

#### General Questions and Issues:

- 1. There is a concern among consumers about the implications of roaming among digital technologies in the future, if a variety of approaches for TTY access are used. Thus we believe consistency in approach across technologies is needed. One of the carriers also strongly expressed this view. This problem needs to be solved for the long term, not just for the current situation where roaming tends to go to the more-accessible analog network. Once these solutions are implemented, if problems arise, consumers will have great difficulty having them addressed because the solutions are within the network and customer service personnel will not be equipped to deal with them.
- 2. Has there been any analysis indicating that approaches which propose network changes in switches versus changes in base stations, would lead to earlier availability as claimed? Consumers are interested in seeing solid, lasting and effective solutions, and the speed of implementation, while important, should not override usability considerations.
- 3. All test results presented to date have been obtained using blocks of data sent out from a file stored either in a TTY or in a computer and sent via a TTY modem. It has been noted in tests

run by Gallaudet that results obtained in an interactive mode (two people typing to each other) yielded poorer accuracy. Thus proposals that show errors in transmission should be scrutinized carefully. A full range of system impairments has either not been used in simulation testing or not reported on all of the solutions.

4. Non-activated phone support for 9-1-1 calls is required by the FCC. Has this been considered in the proposals? (See class mark discussion below.)

#### Appraisal of Specific Solutions:

**Vocoder solution**. From a consumer perspective, the Lucent "no gain" solution has been most thoroughly presented and appears to have the most transparent accessibility and the most support for consumer needs and requirements. The inclusion of error correction is a major benefit, given that the air interface presents new challenges to TTY transmission. Other, comparable proposals may also have merit (e.g., Nokia), but they have not been thoroughly explained so that consumers can compare them.

**Code conversion**. The Ericsson (and Nokia?) Code conversion ("tone") proposals appear to offer the possibility of earlier implementation (see 2 above) and the ability to use many existing handsets, but have the potential of putting the retrofit burden on the consumer. They raise the following concerns:

- 1. Smart Cable: Consumers are not opposed to the idea of including intelligence in the cable per se, however the following concerns exist:
  - 1.1. How would this intelligence be powered? (This question could not be answered at the Sept. 9 meeting.) There is opposition to the requirement for an additional battery for reasons of cost, bulk, and reliability.
  - 1.2. Who would make and provide the cable?
  - 1.3. Would this intelligence be built into the regular cable product line or would this be a primarily or exclusively "deaf" product? If the latter, experience shows that provisioning and cost may be serious problems. Customers often have to wait many weeks for "special" accessories. We realize standards bodies do not ordinarily address cost issues, but please consider the additional cost of a phone that vibrates (over a lowend phone), the cost of the TTY, and now the potentially high cost of a special-purpose cable with a small market.
  - 1.4. Would one cable fit all (thereby lowering the price and expanding the availability)?
- 2. Class Mark: Any system that relies on the phone having a class mark denoting that the user uses a TTY is not likely to be successful, because many deaf and hard of hearing people consider self-identification as a possible threat to their security. 9-1-1 operators have never been successful in having deaf and hard of hearing subscribers "sign up" as a TTY telephone number. The procedure is fraught with potential problems and snafus. When someone roamed into a carrier using this solution (not marked), what would happen? Hearing people who use TTYs may not realize they need to enroll their phones. People who have a phone and acquire a TTY later (e.g., after onset of hearing loss) would find the TTY does not work. TTY users could not use someone else's cell phone. One solution to this problem suggested

at the forum was to mark all phones as TTY. Would carriers agree to this? In short, a system that provides automatic detection of the TTY signal is preferable.

# IWF. Although we recognize that IWF proposals are not a part of the present TR-45 TDMA TTY discussions we would also like to provide the following for your information, as they should be considered in development of proposals:

- 1. There is a strong desire for VCO/HCO capability, which appears to be difficult to implement in IWF solutions at the present time.
- 2. There is also a strong desire for provision of the line signal power indicator (flickering light) used to interpret call status.
- 3. Consumers are opposed to (and the DOJ has mandated against) requiring any form of special dialing (e.g., two-stage) or conditioning sequences (e.g., #NN) to reach 9-1-1.
- 4. It will be important that the delay between powering on a data device and dialing out not exceed the delay experienced with a voice call.

**Appendix**: Consumer requirements with comments regarding proposed solutions:

1. The character error rate should approximate that of AMPS, which has been demonstrated at <1% for stationary calls. More research on AMPS performance with TTY would be useful to assist in specifying a range of conditions.

Comment: All proposals presented to date appear to meet this criterion. Consumers are concerned that there be sufficient testing to validate this in the field.

2. The TTY caller must be able to visually monitor all aspects of call progress provided to voice users. Specifically, the ability to pass through sounds on the line to the TTY (so that the user can monitor ring, busy, answered-in-voice, etc.) should be provided.

Comment: All proposals claim to meet this criterion and we have no concerns. (IWF solutions may, however, not be able to meet this one.)

3. There must be a visual indication when the call has been disconnected.

Comment: This specific issue has not been addressed in presentations but is covered by most if not all systems by a message on the display of the phone.

4. A volume control should be provided.

Comment: This item is intended to allow the TTY user to adjust volume for better reception of TTY tones as necessary. Most if not all handsets include this feature anyway. It has not therefore been addressed in presentations on solutions.

5. The TTY user must have a means of tactile (vibrating) ring signal indication.

Comment: Again, this is an issue of general provisioning and not related to voice-channel solutions. (However, this will be an issue in IWF solutions.)

6. The caller must be able to transmit TTY tones independent of the condition of the receiving modem. (This is to permit Baudot signaling by pressing a key, to let a hearing person know that the incoming call is from a TTY.)

Comment: All voice-channel solutions to date appear to support this.

7. The *landline* party's TTY must not require retrofitting in order to achieve the desired error rate.

Comment: All solutions to date appear not to require retrofitting of the landline TTY.

8. The wireless party's TTY may require retrofitting, or a new model TTY to be developed, or the use of a portable data terminal such as a personal digital assistant.

Comment: Solutions that do not require retrofitting or special treatment are preferred by consumer representatives.

9. VCO and HCO should be supported where possible.

Comment: Voice-channel solutions presented to date appear to support this requirement. (IWF solutions may not, however.)

10. Reduction of throughput (partial rate) on Baudot is highly undesirable and should not be relied upon to achieve compliance (see #7). It may be useful as a user-selectable option to improve accuracy on a given call.

Comment: No solution presented to date reduces throughput, as nearly as we can tell. This should be verified with the companies proposing solutions.

11. Call information such as ANI and ALI, where provided in wireless voice, should also be provided for TTY calls.

Comment: Voice channel solutions should not cause a problem with this.

12. On the landline side, the solution need not support little-used or obsolete TTY models, but in general should support the embedded base of TTYs sold over the past ten years. The landline equipment supported must not be limited to that used in Public Service Answering Points (911 centers).

Comment: This is of concern because of limited testing of solutions to date.

13. Drive conditions must be supported, again using AMPS as a benchmark.

Comment: This requirement has not been adequately addressed by testing.



#### Appendix E TTY/TDD Forum – 18

June 12, 2001 ATIS Conference Center 1200 G Street, NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC

### TTY User Intervention (i.e., mode switch)

#### **Ouestions:**

- 1. How often does this have to be done?
- 2. How many steps are there?
- 3. How complicated are the steps?
- 4. Is it easily discovered without using the user's manual?
- 5. Is it clearly documented?
- 6. Is there a visual status indication?
  - During set-up?
  - Ongoing?
- 7. Does the TTY mode setting interfere with the operation of other features of the handset or system? (e.g., does connecting the cable or enabling the TTY mode disable the vibrate feature or the direct dialing capability?)
- 8. Will it be possible to make a voice call while in TTY mode?
- 9. Will VCO be a choice or will it be supported as a TTY mode? (Will VCO be incorporated into this mode or is there a series of choices in TTY mode?)
- 10. How long does it take? How fast can you set it up?
- 11. Is it possible to change modes during a call?
- 12. Is it standardized across handsets?
- 13. Is the process of hooking up the equipment and putting it into TTY mode too long or arduous to be able to answer a call in time?<sup>1</sup>
- 14. When receiving an incoming call, does the phone vibrate? Does the vibrator continue to work when an audio cable is inserted into the jack?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Can a user set up the equipment and get into TTY mode before the call is disconnected or goes to voicemail? Can the phone be answered prior to being connected to equipment?

Notes on Evaluating Solutions against the User Requirements List

Judy Harkins and Norman Williams, Gallaudet University, May, 2001

Some of the carriers have indicated a need to include in their tests and evaluations all of the user requirements generated in 1998 in the TTY Forum. This document annotates the requirements with notes about evaluation issues and field test procedures from a user perspective. This is obviously not a test plan but is sent out primarily for generating discussion and giving general guidance from the user viewpoint.

1. The character error rate should approximate that of AMPS, which has been demonstrated at <1% for stationary calls. More research on AMPS performance with TTY would be useful to assist in specifying a range of conditions.

See appendix.

2. The TTY caller must be able to visually monitor all aspects of call progress provided to voice users. Specifically, the ability to pass through sounds on the line to the TTY (so that the user can monitor ring, busy, answered-in-voice, etc.) should be provided.

Suggestion: Generate all audio call progress signals (ringing, busy, fast busy, voice answer) and determine if there is an understandable visual indication for each. The line status light on the TTY will probably function appropriately in voice channel solutions, but this should be verified. Check that the visual indication is synchronized in time with the audio indication.

Comment: A particular issue in wireless telecommunications is that call to mobile phones often do not ring at all if the party is unavailable; a voice message is provided instead. There may not be a visual indication of the call status on the telephone. Another issue is that many phones revert to voice mail. In these situations, the TTY caller will not be able to monitor all aspects of call progress provided to voice users.

3. There must be a visual indication when the call has been disconnected.

Suggestion: Place call and have other side hang up. What visual indication is given? If the user can tell, by looking at the handset for example, that the call is terminated, then this criterion is met.

Comment: It would help all users to have an explicit message, but if this is not provided, the user should know what the screen will look like upon call termination.

4. A volume control should be provided.

Comment: Determine and document the optimum volume control setting for the TTY being tested. (If performance is affected by volume control, users will need to be informed of this, and how to use the volume control to obtain a low error rate.)

5. The TTY user must have a means of tactile (vibrating) ring signal indication.

Suggestion: Verify that the handset or accessory vibrates on receipt of calls (and preferably not at other times!). Can the tester receive calls in a timely fashion with the ringer turned off? (Test throughout the call; some external vibrators continue to vibrate throughout a call, which can be confusing.)

6. The caller must be able to transmit TTY tones independent of the condition of the receiving modem. (This is to permit Baudot signaling by pressing a key, to let a hearing person know that the incoming call is from a TTY.)

Suggestion: On outgoing call, press keys on the TTY during ring signals and immediately after answer. Baudot tones should be clearly audible by the answering party. (This should not be a problem for voice channel solutions, but is worth some quick tests in the field.)

7. The *landline* party's TTY must not require retrofitting in order to achieve the desired error rate.

Comment: This issue appears to be moot and does not need to be tested.

8. The *wireless* party's TTY may require retrofitting, or a new model TTY to be developed, or the use of a portable data terminal such as a personal digital assistant.

Comment: This is not an issue for testing. However, if an accommodation is required, such as retrofitting, a special model, or a cable, this should be well documented so that consumers know what types of equipment they will need. If PDAs or paging devices are used in place of a handset and TTY combination, attention will need to be paid to the rate of input that can be achieved through the keyboard or virtual keyboard.

9. VCO and HCO should be supported.

Suggestion: Evaluating the efficacy of VCO and HCO:

- VCO and HCO should be tested as they will be implemented. For example, if a custom cable is needed, tests should be run with that cable as part of the set-up. If the user needs to take action between turns (e.g., pushing a button), it should be tested with consumers to check usability.
- Does the system deliver acceptable error rates with devices on the market that are
  designed to work in VCO and in a mobile environment? (Ameriphone Q90, Krown
  Pocket VCO, and the Ericsson handset adapter are the three known examples.)
- Is the quality of voice on VCO calls the same as on non-TTY calls? This can presumably be tested using standard industry methods for voice quality.

- Is there any delay or cut-off of characters or words when switching between voice and TTY?
- Is there greater chance of disconnect when switching between voice and TTY? Other problems?
- 10. Reduction of throughput (partial rate) on Baudot is highly undesirable and should not be relied upon to achieve compliance (see #7). It may be useful as a user-selectable option to improve accuracy on a given call.

This issue is now moot, and no tests are needed.

11. Call information such as ANI and ALI, where provided in wireless voice, should also be provided for TTY calls.

This would not appear to be a problem on voice channel solutions. On data channel solutions, the call would need to carry the same identifying information as would be carried were it in the voice channel.

12. On the landline side, the solution need not support little-used or obsolete TTY models, but in general should support the embedded base of TTYs sold over the past ten years. The landline equipment supported must not be limited to that used in Public Service Answering Points (911 centers).

A variety of TTY models should be tested, but the amount of testing on each model will necessarily vary. The difficulty in testing with a large number of models is acknowledged, given the limitations in data capture possibilities with TTYs and some 911 TTY systems on the market. This may have to be handled by short tests – calling to direct-connect landline TTYs set to auto answer, where the tester can call send a string of identifying information about the call, which can then be sent back to the tester for scoring. This might be able to be arranged at Gallaudet if there is interest; more discussion is welcome. (Note that Gallaudet has produced some software tools and documentation for partially automated two-way TTY testing: www.tap.gallaudet.edu/ttytools

13. Drive conditions must be supported, again using AMPS as a benchmark.

Tests for drive conditions should be run using carriers' individual methodologies and facilities. The consumer's goal is to be able to use the TTY and telephone while a passenger in a car, while on a train, etc.

#### Appendix User Requirement 1: Error rate of TTY over Wireless telephones

- Interoperability among handsets and infrastructure vendors should be tested using industry's usual tests.
- Varying signal conditions need to be tested.
- Varying network conditions need to be tested.
- Data should be collected and scored on both sides (directions) of the call wherever possible.
- See Requirement 12 on accommodating a range of TTY models. Compatibility testing with 9-1-1 TTY equipment should be coordinated via Toni Dunne.
- See Requirement 13 on drive tests.
- Calls through relay should be placed. A hearing person on the landline side should read one side of the script. (This is an example of where random characters will not be helpful). Relay operators cannot retain conversations; unless special arrangements can be made with TRS providers for test calls, the only way to ascertain is to ask the relay operator if the incoming text was garbled.
- We tentatively recommend that Lober and Walsh's SCORE program be used as this was developed through the TTY Forum. There is some indication based on limited tests that the Ericsson program results in a higher error rate.
- Scripts: A few comments -- Consumers have had the concern that the error rates generated by the TTY Forum's random character set may be inflated due to the excessive number of register shifts (sending a shift character between each figure/letter transition) in this script. It is not possible to eyeball the results in the field because of the random characters. The random character file also transmits only at full rate there are no pauses.

Matt Kaltenbach of Ericsson has suggested that it would be helpful to base at least one script on the bit structure of Baudot or some other mathematical basis that would allow for diagnosis of problems in the field.

Gallaudet has produced a series of scripts that use conversational language and naturals shifts between letters and figures, pauses in typing and simulation of two typing speeds. These are available at http://tap.gallaudet.edu/ttytools

Comment on the 1% benchmark: It was our intention, when we wrote this requirement, that 1% would apply to reasonable signal conditions and network conditions, and *not* that a maximum of 1% error rate must be met on every single call in the presence of severe (and rarely occurring) impairments.